

Questions and Answers

Monmouth RSU#2 MTP Grant Trail RFP

Is a 2027 construction timeline acceptable? What is the timeline for completion of work?

As stated in the RFP, the goal of the Monmouth Trails Committee is to have construction completed in 2026.

Do you have a map of the trail that I could look over prior to the pre-bid?

Shapefile will be included in email.

Does the tread path need to be raised or lowered or level to the field?

Ideally it should be flush with the adjacent field, as described in the design document on page 31 (sheet D-1). As noted in the OSI Study, the trail should be graded to promote sheet flow off the trail.

Will the proposed trail location be flagged? Will the property boundaries be flagged?

We will flag the trail loosely and the boundary before the project start date.

Is there any more information about the wiring down by the fields?

There are some underground wires that run power to things like dugouts and scoreboards at the fields near Trail 100. We will work with the utilities, the RSU and Digsafe to provide information on the location of these underground wires prior to project construction.

Can the proposed trails that we walked during the site visit be bid on individually or is the contractor supposed to bid on all the trail systems?

No. The Maine Trails Program grant is for the entire project as described in the OSI Study, and the RFP, consistent with the MTP grant award, seeks bids for the entire project. Thus, bidders must bid on the entire trail system, not any individual trails.

If I think something should be changed from the documented design, how should this be addressed?

Per the MTP grant, the OSI Study is not a final design of the project. As discussed in the RFP, deviations from the design standards discussed in the OSI Study should be clearly noted in bids and explained. If the bidder wishes to propose a different design, or use a different material, such a proposal can be presented as an alternative and any price differences resulting from the deviation/alternative should be clearly noted.